## Ergodic Theory and Measured Group Theory Lecture 1

Shandard probability spaces. We will denote a measure space by 
$$(X, T)$$
,  
and we will write  $(X, A, T)$  if we want to indicate the  $\tau$ -algebra.  
Examples  $O([0, 1], \lambda)$ , here  $\lambda$  is the lebesgue measure.  
 $O([0, 1]^{M}, \lambda_{M})$ , where  $\lambda_{m} := \lambda^{m}$ .  
 $O([2^{M}], \nu^{M})$ , where  $\lambda_{m} := \lambda^{m}$ 

O (IN <sup>IN</sup> 
$$v^{IN}$$
), there is is a prob. news, or  $IN$ ,  
O ( $[0,1)^{IN}$ ,  $\lambda^{IN}$ )  
O S' := the unit circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  
with the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$   
on S' where we view S'  $\simeq [0,1)$  by the exponen-  
sial - ap.

Signable  
Dit. A Polish epoce is a topological space that is second atto-  
(i.e. admity a atto-open basis) and is completely matrixable  
(i.e. admity a complete metric producing the same topology).  
Examples 0 IR, loved subsets of IR  
0 IO, 1), why? Decar (0,1) 
$$\cong$$
 (0,00)  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup>, dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x, y  $\in N^{IN}$  0  
0 N<sup>IN</sup> , dwg? + x,

Note that if T is Porch, they let at ET are Bond rubsets at X .  $x h_{\overline{i}} y : \langle z \rangle T(\kappa) = y$  $x = t_{T} \cdot (z) = \sum \exists x, w \in \mathbb{N}, T^{n}(x) = T^{n}(y),$ both are Bret definitions bere of a theorem in descriptive set theory that says let a function is Barel (=> its graph is a Borel set. Dr. A mens, transformation T: (X, M) -> (X, M) is said to be mensure-preserving if the probability of a random point & being in a given Berel set B is = to the prob. of Tx & B. In other words, M(13) = M(TTB). The way to think about this is that the "weight" of each point x (X is equal to the total weight of the set T'x are equal.

Encylon O Ratchion 
$$R_1: S' \rightarrow S'$$
, share  $d \in [-T, T]$ .  
 $e^{2i} \mapsto e^{2i} e^{2$